MAY 0 5 2603

Practitioner's Docket No.

62,827-015

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paonessa

Application No.: 10 001,663

Group No.: 3611

Filed: 10/23/2001

Examiner: unknown

For: METHOD OF PREPARING AIR BAG MODULE AND VEHICLE

SUPPORT FOR FINAL PROCESS POSITIONING

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED MAY 0 6 2003 GROUP 3600

STATUS INQUIRY

V	/ARININ	in patent ter	of a status letter afte rm adjustment under une 26, 2001.	r a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG
1.	More	than 18	_ months have p	passed since
	X	NEW APPL	ICATIONS	
		the filing of	this application	on
		No commu		n received from the Patent and Trademark Office
		AMENDED	APPLICATIONS	
		the filing of	a response on .	*
		No further of Office.	communication h	has been received from the Patent and Trademark
		APPEALED	APPLICATION	
		The Ap	peal Brief was fi	iled on
			ng Express Mail, th	DER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* e Express Mail label number is mandatory; il certification is optional.)
l he	reby cer	tify that, on the	date shown below,	this correspondence is being:
,				MAILING
deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231				
ı		37 C.F.R. §	• •	37 C.F.R. § 1.10°
P	with suf	ficient postage	as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
				Mailing label No (mandatory)
Dat	e: <u>4/</u>	28/03		Signature
	,	,		Lori Tersigni
				(type or print name of person certifying)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

		۶	
	(check and con	nplete applicable items below)	
	☐ An Examiner	s Answer was mailed on	
	☐ A Reply to th	e Examiner's Answer was submitted on	
<i>-</i> ⇒ □	· · ·		
_		-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on	
	-		
		f the present status of this application, by checking ed return-addressed envelope is provided.	
NOTE: 1	M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, follows:	8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as	
	"NEW APPLICATION		
	of Form PTOL-37 in every case of in addition to a formal Notice of Allo the need for status inquiries even or her new application may have	w provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) fallowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 pwance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within a PTOL-37.	
"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.			
	"Therefore, it should be rarely need	cessary to query the status of a new application.	
•	'AMENDED APPLICATIONS		
	two months of the date the examin order after reply by the attorney A postcard receipt for replies to Of will be considered prima facie profiling of a reply, the submission of a the need for a petition to revive.	ted to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within inner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. fice actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, of of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."	
		Han & Surro	
D	40.455	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	
Reg. No.:	43,167	Adam B. Strauss	
		(type or print name of practitioner)	
		Dykema Gossett PLLC	
Tel. No.:	(248) 203-0764	_Suite 300	
		P.O. Address	
		39577 Woodward Avenue	
_		- Bloomfield Hills, MIchigan 48304	

Customer No.: 26127 Patent Trademark Office

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

FORM	9-3		9-1

•	1	Λ	1
~	·I	u	. 1

	FO	R	۸	1

(Rel.91—7/02 Pub.605)

APPEAL NO. _

ENCES



HIE STATE	STATUS INQUIRY REPLY	RECEIVED
APPLIC	ATION SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:	MAY 0 6 2003
U		GROUP 3600
		

☐ IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-

☐ DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED ______